

The 23rd November, 1982

No. ID/FD/259/82/52363.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the workman Shri Banarsi Yadav and the management of M/s Ess Kay Textiles 22-AN. I. T., Faridabad, regarding the matter hereinafter appearing;

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (i) of section 10 of Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to the Industrial Tribunal, Haryana, Faridabad constituted under section 7-A of the said Act the matters specified below, being either matter in dispute or matter relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and the workman for adjudication.

Whether the termination of service of Shri Banarsi Yadav was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?

No. ID/FD/258/82/52370.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the workman Shri Shyam Dev and the management of M/s. Joy B Industries Plot No. 162, Sector 24, Faridabad, regarding the matter hereinafter appearing;

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (i) of Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to the Industrial Tribunal, Haryana, Faridabad constituted under section 7-A of the said Act, the matter specified below, being either matter in dispute or matter relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and the workman for adjudication:—

Whether the termination of service of Shri Shyam Dev was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?

No. ID/FD/162/82/52377.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the workman Shri Durga Parshad and the management of M/s Joy B, Industries, Plot No. 162, Sector 24, Faridabad, regarding the matter hereinafter appearing:

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (i) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to the Industrial Tribunal, Haryana, Faridabad constituted under section 7-A of the said Act, the matters specified below, being either matter in dispute or matter relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and the workman for adjudication:—

Whether the termination of service of Shri Durga Parshad was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?

No. ID/FD/264/82/52384.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the workman Shri Ram Raj and the management of M/s Joy B, Industries, Plot No. 162, Sector 24, Faridabad, regarding the matter hereinafter appearing;

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication;

Now therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (i) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to the Industrial Tribunal, Haryana Faridabad constituted, under section 7-A of the said Act, the matters specified below being either matter in dispute or matters relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and the workman for adjudication.

Whether the termination of service of Shri Ram Raj was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?

No. ID/FD/261/82/52391.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the workman Shri Bal Kishan and the management of the M/s Joy B, Industries, Plot No. 162, Sector 24, Faridabad, regarding the matter hereinafter appearing;

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (i) of section 10 of Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to the Industrial Tribunal, Haryana, Faridabad constituted under section 7-A of the said Act, the matters specified below, being

either in dispute or matter relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and the workman for adjudication :—

Whether the termination of service of Shri Bal Kishan was justified and in order ? If not, to what relief is he entitled ?

No. ID/FD/160/82/52398.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the workman Shri Shyam Lal and the management of M/s Puja Interprises Private Ltd., 14/5 Mathura Road, Faridabad regarding the matter hereinafter appearing.

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (i) section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to the Labour Court, Faridabad, Constituted,—vide Government notification No. 11495-G-Lab/57/11245, dated 7th February, 1958 read with notification No. 5414-3Lab-68/15254, dated 20th June, 1968 under section 7 of the said Act, the matter specified below being either matter in dispute or matter relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and workman for adjudication:—

Whether the termination of service of Shri Shyam Lal was justified and in order ? If not, to what relief is he entitled ?

No. ID/KNL/98/82/52418.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the workman Shri Man Singh and the management of M/s The Kauhand Co-operative Credit & Service Society Ltd. Kauhand, district Karnal, regarding the matter hereinafter appearing ;

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (i) of section 10 of Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to the Industrial Tribunal, Haryana, Faridabad, constituted under section 7-A of the said Act, the matter specified below, being either in dispute or matter relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and the workman for adjudication:—

Whether the termination of service of Shri Man Singh was justified and in order ? If not, to what relief is he entitled ?

No. ID/FD/174/82/52424.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the Workman Shri Pavinder Singh and the management of the M/s Mahabir Metal Works Pvt. Ltd., 15/2, Mathura Road, Faridabad, regarding the matter hereinafter appearing ;

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication ;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (i) section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to the Labour Court, Faridabad constituted,—vide Government notification No. 11495-G-Lab/57/11245, dated 7th February, 1958 read with notification No. 5414-3Lab-68/15254, dated 20th June, 1968 under section 7 of the said Act, the matter specified below being either matter in dispute or matter relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and workman for adjudication :—

Whether the termination of service of Shri Pavinder Singh was justified and in order ? If not, to what relief is he entitled ?

No. ID/FD/185/82/52431.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the workman Shri Kailash Rai and the management of M/s Janter Enterprises 12/2, Mathura Road, Faridabad regarding the matter hereinafter appearing ;

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (i) section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to the Labour Court, Faridabad constituted,—vide Government notification No. 11495-G-Lab/57/11245, dated 7th February,

1953 read with notification No. 5414-3-Lab-68/15254, dated 20th June, 1968 under section 7 of the said Act, the matters specified below being either matter in dispute or matter relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and workman for adjudication:—

Whether the termination of services of Shri Kailash Rai was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?

No. ID/FD/240/81/52438.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the workman Shri Hari Ram and the management of M/s Nov Young Wood Articles Production Co-op. Industries Society Ltd. 3-A Ground N.I.T., Faridabad, regarding the matter hereinafter appearing;

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to the dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (i) section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to the Industrial Tribunal, Haryana, Faridabad constituted under section 7-A of the said Act, the matters specified below, being either in dispute or matter relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and the workman for adjudication:—

Whether the termination of services of Shri Hari Ram was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?

No. ID/FD/267/82/52445.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the workman Shri Lalji Singh, and the management of M/s Electronics Limited, 26, Industrial Area, N.I.T. Faridabad, regarding the matter hereinafter appearing;

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (i) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to the Industrial Tribunal, Haryana, Faridabad, constituted under section 7-A of the said Act, the matters specified below being either in dispute or matter relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and the workman for adjudication:—

Whether the termination of service of Shri Laljit Singh, was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?

No. ID/FD/182/82/52452.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that Industrial Dispute exist between the workman Shri Ram Naval and the management of M/s Endee Woollen and Silk Mills Pvt. Ltd. 14/4, Mathura Road, P.O. Amir Nagar Faridabad, regarding the matter hereinafter appearing;

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (i) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to the Labour Court, Faridabad, constituted,—vide Government notification No. 11495-G-Lab/57/11245, dated 7th February, 1958 read with notification No. 5414-3-Lab-68/15254, dated 20th June, 1968 under section 7 of the said Act, the matter specified below being either matter in dispute or matter relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and the workman for adjudication:—

Whether the termination of service of Shri Ram Naval was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?

No. ID/Amb/94/81/52459.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the workman Shri Chander Kumar and the management of The Executive Engineer Haryana State Electricity Board, Kurukshetra Division Kurukshetra, regarding the matter hereinafter appearing;

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (i) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to the Labour Court, Faridabad constituted,—vide Government notification No. 11495-G-Lab/57/11245, dated 7th February,

1958, read with notification No. 5414-3Lab-68/15254, dated 20th June, 1968 under section 7 of the said Act, the matter specified below being either matter in dispute or matter relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and workman for adjudication :—

Whether the termination of service of Shri Chandar Kumar was justified and in order ? If not, to what relief is he entitled ?

V. S. CHAUDHRI,

Deputy Secretary to Government, Haryana
Labour Department.

No. ID/FD/139/82/52465.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the workman and the management of M/s Mahindra Stiller Auto-Trucks Ltd., Mathura Road, Faridabad regarding the matter hereinafter appearing;

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication ;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (i) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to the Industrial Tribunal, Haryana, Faridabad, constituted under section 7-A of the said Act, the matters specified below, being either matter in dispute or matter relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and the workman, for adjudication :—

Whether the workmen are entitled to the grant of bonus @ 20% for the year, 1981-82? If so, with what details?

M. KUTTAPPAN.

Commissioner and Secretary to Government, Haryana,
Labour and Employment Departments.

राजस्व विभाग

युद्ध जागीर

दिनांक, 25 अक्टूबर, 1982

क्रमांक 1610-(II)-82/37644.—श्री रिछपाल सिंह, पुत्र श्री अस्ती राम, गांव माईना, तहसील व जिला रोहतक, की दिनांक 25 अक्टूबर, 1981, को हुई मृत्यु के परिणामस्वरूप हरियाणा के राज्यपाल, पूर्वी पंजाब युद्ध पुरस्कार अधिनियम, 1948 (जैसा कि उसे हरियाणा राज्य में अपनाया गया है और उस में आज तक संशोधन किया गया है) की धारा 4 एवं 2(ए)(1ए) तथा 3(1ए) के अधीन प्रदान की गई शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए श्री रिछपाल सिंह को मुल्लिम 300 रुपये वार्षिक की जागीर जो उसे पंजाब/हरियाणा सरकार की अधिसूचना क्रमांक [15891-जे.-एन.-III-66/18885, दिनांक 3 सितम्बर, 1966 तथा अधिसूचना क्रमांक 5041-आर-III-70/29505, दिनांक 8 दिसम्बर, 1970, अधिसूचना क्रमांक 1789-ज-(I)-79/44040, दिनांक 30 अक्टूबर, 1979 द्वारा मंजूर की गयी थी, अब उसकी विधवा श्रीमती खजानी देवी के नाम खरीफ, 1982 से 300 रुपये वार्षिक की दर से सनद में दी गई शर्तों के अन्तर्गत प्रदान करते हैं।

क्रमांक 1611-ज-(II)-82/37648.—श्री भीम सिंह, पुत्र श्री चेत राम, गांव गढ़ी सांपला, तहसील व जिला रोहतक, की दिनांक 9 अप्रैल, 1982 को हुई मृत्यु के परिणामस्वरूप हरियाणा के राज्यपाल, पूर्वी पंजाब युद्ध पुरस्कार अधिनियम, 1948 (जैसा कि उसे हरियाणा राज्य में अपनाया गया है और उसमें आज तक संशोधन किया गया है) की धारा 4 एवं 2(ए)(1ए) तथा 3(1ए) के अधीन प्रदान की गई शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए श्री भीम सिंह को मुल्लिम 300 रुपये वार्षिक जागीर जो उसे हरियाणा सरकार की अधिसूचना क्रमांक 1592-ज (II) 81/31082, दिनांक 28 अगस्त, 1981, द्वारा मंजूर की गई थी, अब उसकी विधवा श्रीमती फूलपति के नाम खरीफ, 1982 से 300 रुपये वार्षिक की दर से सनद में दी गई शर्तों के अन्तर्गत प्रदान करते हैं।

टी० आर० हुली,
अवर सचिव, हरियाणा सरकार,
राजस्व विभाग।